

# Problem Set 1

MATH 777, Spring 2010, Mohr

February 25, 2010

## 1 Problem 1

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a graph  $G$  has an  $S^1$ -flow if it has a  $\mathbb{C}$ -circulation  $f$  so that  $|f(\vec{e})| = 1$  for all  $\vec{e} \in \vec{E}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z_1| = 1$ . There are unique  $z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z_2| = |z_3| = 1$  satisfying  $z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Observe first that, if  $z_1, z_2$ , and  $z_3$  satisfy the desired equations, then the complex numbers resulting from the application of any single rotation to all of  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  also satisfy the equations. Hence, we assume, without loss of generality, that  $z_1 = 1$ . Let  $z_2 = a + bi$  and  $z_3 = c + di$ . To ensure  $|z_2| = |z_3| = 1$ , we require  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{c^2 + d^2} = 1$ . More simply,

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2 = 1 \tag{1}$$

To ensure  $1 + z_2 + z_3 = 0$ , we require  $(a + bi) + (c + di) = -1$ . In other words,

$$a + c = -1 \tag{2}$$

$$b + d = 0. \tag{3}$$

It follows that

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2 \tag{by (1)}$$

$$a^2 = c^2 \tag{by (3)}$$

$$a = \pm c.$$

Combining this last line with (2), we see that  $a = c = -\frac{1}{2}$ . With this new information, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + b^2 = 1 \tag{by (1)}$$

$$b^2 = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$b = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Similarly,  $d = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . By (3), we take, without loss of generality,  $b = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$  and  $d = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . Hence,  $z_2 = \frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$  and  $z_3 = \frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$  provide the unique solution when  $z_1 = 1$ . By the remarks at the beginning of the proof, we are guaranteed a unique solution for any choice of  $z_1$  satisfying  $|z_1| = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $G$  is cubic, it has an  $S^1$ -flow if and only if it has a 3-flow.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $G$  be cubic and possess an  $S_1$ -flow  $f$ . We show that  $G$  is bipartite, and so conclude that  $G$  possesses a 3-flow.

First, let  $v \in V(G)$  and let  $z_1, z_2$ , and  $z_3$  be the complex-valued flow leaving  $v$  along each of its incident edges. Evidently,  $|z_1| = |z_2| = |z_3| = 1$  and  $z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = 0$ . Now, consider the neighbor  $w$  of  $v$  such that the edge from  $v$  to  $w$  has flow, say,  $z_1$ . By the lemma, there is a unique assignment of flow for the other two edges incident to  $w$  satisfying the desired properties for an  $S_1$ -flow, namely  $-z_2$  and  $-z_3$ . Continuing in this fashion, we see that  $f$  only takes on the values  $\pm z_1, \pm z_2$ , and  $\pm z_3$ .

Let  $A$  denote the set of vertices of  $G$  that have flow of  $z_1$  directed outward and  $B$  denote the set of vertices of  $G$  that have flow of  $z_1$  directed inward (i.e. flow of  $-z_1$  directed outward). By the lemma, every vertex must belong to one precisely one of these sets. Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  partition  $V(G)$ . Now, there can be no edge between two vertices of  $A$ , since the flow of  $z_1$  along this edge must be leaving one of the vertices and entering the other (hence, one of them belongs in  $B$ ). Similarly, there are no edges between vertices of  $B$ . Therefore,  $G$  is bipartite, and so possesses a 3-flow.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $G$  be cubic and possess a 3-flow. We construct an  $S_1$ -flow  $f$  on  $G$ .

First, observe that  $G$  is bipartite, as it is cubic and possesses a 3-flow. Let  $A$  and  $B$  denote the partite sets of  $V(G)$ . For any edge  $e \in E(G)$ , let  $\vec{e}$  denote the direction of  $e$  from  $A$  to  $B$ .

Now, since  $G$  is cubic, it possesses a 1-factor  $M_0$ . For every edge  $e \in M_0$ , define  $f(\vec{e}) = 1$  and  $f(\overleftarrow{e}) = -1$ . Note that  $|1| = |-1| = 1$ .

Next, consider  $G \setminus M_0$ . This graph is 2-regular, and so possesses a 1-factor  $M_1$ . For every edge  $e \in M_1$ , define  $f(\vec{e}) = \omega$  and  $f(\overleftarrow{e}) = -\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is a primitive cube root of unity. Note that  $|\omega| = |-\omega| = 1$ .

Finally, consider  $G \setminus (M_0 \cup M_1)$ . This graph is 1-regular, and so possesses a 1-factor  $M_2$ . For every edge  $e \in M_2$ , define  $f(\vec{e}) = \omega^2$  and  $f(\overleftarrow{e}) = -\omega^2$ . Note that  $|\omega^2| = |-\omega^2| = 1$ .

Since  $M_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2 = E(G)$ ,  $f$  is now defined for every edge in  $G$ . Evidently,  $f(\vec{e}) = -f(\overleftarrow{e})$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ . We see also that every vertex in  $v \in A$  has  $f(v, V) = 1 + \omega + \omega^2 = 0$ . Similarly, for all  $v \in B$ , we have  $f(v, V) = -1 - \omega - \omega^2 = 0$ . Therefore,  $f$  is an  $S_1$ -flow on  $G$ .  $\square$

## 2 Problem 2

**Lemma 2.1.** *Every connected even graph has a covering by edge-disjoint cycles.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an even graph on  $n$  vertices. We proceed by induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 3$  (the smallest possible value for an even simple graph), then  $G$  is itself a cycle.

Suppose now that the claim holds for  $n = k$  and let  $G$  be even on  $k + 1$  vertices. It must be that either  $G$  is itself a cycle (in which case there is nothing to show) or  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  having fewer than  $k + 1$  vertices (if  $G$  contains no such cycle, then it cannot possibly have an Euler tour). Observe that  $G \setminus C$  is an even graph, since we reduce the degree of every vertex in the even graph  $G$  by 0 or 2. By the inductive hypothesis,  $G \setminus C$  can be covered by edge-disjoint cycles. Taking these cycles together with  $C$  gives the desired covering of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be the union of two even graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . The graph  $(V(G), E(G_1) \Delta E(G_2))$  is even.*

*Proof.* As each of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are even, they each possess a 2-flow. For reasons to become apparent later, define the flow  $f_1$  on each edge of  $e \in G_1$  to be  $f_1(e) = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Similarly,  $f_2(e) = (1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  for each edge  $e \in G_2$ . The function  $f = f_1 + f_2$  is a 4-flow on  $G$ , where we assume the  $f_i$  are defined to be 0 on edges outside their respective domains. To see this, observe first that, for all  $e \in G$ ,  $f(\vec{e}) = -f(\overleftarrow{e})$  since every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  is self-inverse. Now, let  $V = V(G)$ . For all vertices  $v \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(v, V) &= f_1(v, V) + f_2(v, V) \\
 &= \sum_{u \in N(v)} f_1(uv) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} f_2(uv) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \in E(G_1)}} f_1(uv) + \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \notin E(G_1)}} f_1(uv) + \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \in E(G_2)}} f_2(uv) + \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \notin E(G_2)}} f_2(uv) \\
 &= 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

By this construction, the edges having flow  $(1, 1)$  are precisely the edges in  $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2)$ . Moreover, for every vertex  $v \in G$ , the total number of edges incident to  $v$  having flow other than  $(1, 1)$  must be even (else the sum of the flows at  $v$  cannot possibly be 0). Hence, removing the edges with flow  $(1, 1)$  (i.e. removing  $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2)$ ) leaves an even graph, as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $G$  has a 4-flow, then it contains a collection of cycles  $\{C_1, \dots, C_N\}$  covering all of the edges (i.e.,  $\cup_{j=1}^N E(C_j) = E(G)$ ) so that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \|C_j\| \leq \frac{4}{3} \|G\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  possess a 4-flow, which we will view as a  $Z_2 \times Z_2$  flow. Observe first that  $G$  is the union of two even graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . By 2.1, there are sets of edge-disjoint cycles  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  covering  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. Now, using the flow constructed in 2.2, let  $A$  be the set of edges having flow  $(0, 1)$ ,  $B$  be the set of edges having flow  $(1, 0)$ , and  $C$  be the set of edges having flow  $(1, 1)$ . Evidently,  $\|G\| = |A| + |B| + |C|$ . Moreover, we have that  $C = E(G_1) \cap E(G_2)$ .

Now, it must be that one of  $|A|$ ,  $|B|$ , or  $|C|$  is at most  $\frac{1}{3}\|G\|$ . If  $|C| \leq \frac{1}{3}\|G\|$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$  is the desired covering, as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2} \|C\| &= \|G_1\| + \|G_2\| \\ &= (|A| + |C|) + (|B| + |C|) \\ &= (|A| + |B| + |C|) + |C| \\ &\leq \|G\| + \frac{1}{3}\|G\| \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\|G\|. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude the proof by demonstrating that one can always construct a 4-flow in which the number of edges of  $G$  having flow  $(1, 1)$  is at most  $\frac{1}{3}\|G\|$ . To that end, let  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  be as above. The case where  $|C| \leq \frac{1}{3}\|G\|$  was handled previously, and so we assume, without loss of generality, that  $|A| \leq \frac{1}{3}\|G\|$ . Now, let  $G' = (V(G), A \cup B)$  (i.e.  $G' = (V(G), E(G_1) \Delta E(G_2))$ ). By 2.2,  $G'$  is even, and so possesses a 2-flow  $f_0$ . Define  $f_0(e) = (0, 1)$  for all  $e \in G'$ . As  $G_1$  is even, define the 2-flow  $f_1$  where  $f_1(e) = (1, 0)$  for all  $e \in G_1$ . As in the proof of 2.2, extend these to a 4-flow  $f$  on  $G$  by letting  $f = f_0 + f_1$ . Evidently, the set of edges having flow  $(1, 1)$  under  $f$  is precisely  $A$ . Since we assumed  $|A| \leq \frac{1}{3}\|G\|$ , the claim follows as before.  $\square$

### 3 Problem 3

**Definition 3.1.** *For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , a function  $f : \vec{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $x$ -flow if it satisfies*

- $f(\vec{e}) = -f(\overleftarrow{e})$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ ,
- $f(v, V(G)) = 0$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ , and
- $1 \leq |f(\vec{e})| \leq x - 1$  for all  $\vec{e} \in \vec{E}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *If a graph has an  $x$ -flow, then it has an (integral)  $\lfloor x \rfloor$ -flow.*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the number of edges of  $G$  having non-integral flow.

If there are no edges having non-integral flow, then the claim holds trivially.

Suppose now that the claim holds for graphs having  $k$  edges with non-integral flow. Let  $f$  be an  $x$ -flow on  $G$  having  $k + 1$  non-integral edges. Consider the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of all cycles in  $G$  in which every edge is non-integral. Observe that such a cycle must exist, since if a vertex  $v_1$  sends non-integral flow to  $v_2$ ,  $v_2$  must send non-integral flow to some  $v_3$  by Kirchoff's Law. Hence, non-integral flow must continue to be routed through  $G$  until it eventually returns to  $v_1$ , thus forming a cycle.

Now, let  $e_1$  be an edge minimizing  $f(\vec{e}) - \lfloor f(\vec{e}) \rfloor$  taken over all edges  $e$  belonging to cycles of  $\mathcal{C}$ , where we assume  $\vec{e}$  is the direction of  $e$  having positive flow. Let  $y_1$  denote this minimum value. Similarly, let  $e_2$  be an edge minimizing  $\lfloor f(\vec{e}) \rfloor - f(\vec{e})$  and denote this minimum value by  $y_2$ . Finally, let

$$e_0 = \begin{cases} e_1 & \text{if } y_1 \leq y_2 \\ e_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let  $y_0 = \min\{y_1, y_2\}$ . Loosely speaking,  $e_0$  is the edge belonging to a cycle of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose flow is closest to an integer and  $y_0$  is the distance this flow is from being an integer. Now, let  $\vec{e}_0$  belong to the directed cycle  $\vec{C} = \vec{e}_0 \cdots \vec{e}_l$ . Note that  $f(\vec{e}_0)$  is positive by assumption, but this may not be the case for the remaining  $\vec{e}_i$ . For all  $e \in C$ , let  $\vec{e}$  denote the direction specified in  $\vec{C}$ . For  $e \in G \setminus C$ , fix a direction  $\vec{e}$  arbitrarily. We construct a new flow  $f'$  where, for all  $e \in G$ ,

$$f'(\vec{e}) = \begin{cases} f(\vec{e}) & \text{if } e \notin C \\ f(\vec{e}) - y_0 & \text{if } e \in C \text{ and } e_0 = e_1 \\ f(\vec{e}) + y_0 & \text{if } e \in C \text{ and } e_0 = e_2 \end{cases}$$

and we take the appropriate value for  $f'(\vec{e})$  so as to satisfy  $f'(\vec{e}) = -f'(\overleftarrow{e})$ . By our choice of  $y_0$ , we have that  $1 \leq |f'(\vec{e})| \leq x - 1$  for all  $e \in G$ . Furthermore,  $f'(v, V) = f(v, V) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , since we add (or subtract) a constant value from the flow at every edge of a cycle.

Now,  $f'(\vec{e}_0)$  is integral by our choice of  $y_0$ , and so  $f'$  is an  $x$ -flow on  $G$  having only  $k$  non-integral edges. By the inductive hypothesis, we conclude that  $G$  possesses a  $\lfloor x \rfloor$ -flow.  $\square$

## 4 Problem 4

**Lemma 4.1.** *If two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  both admit a  $k$ -flow, then  $G \square H$  admits a  $k$ -flow.*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  and  $h$  be  $k$ -flows on  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. Call  $e \in E(G \square H)$  a  $G$ -edge if its endpoints are of the form  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y)$  for some  $x, x' \in G$  and

$y \in H$ . Similarly, call  $e$  an  $H$ -edge if its endpoints are of the form  $(x, y)$  and  $(x, y')$  for some  $x \in G$  and  $y, y' \in H$ .

Define the function  $f$  by letting

$$f(\vec{e}) = \begin{cases} g(\vec{e}) & \text{if } e \text{ is a } G\text{-edge} \\ h(\vec{e}) & \text{if } e \text{ is an } H\text{-edge.} \end{cases}$$

As both  $g$  and  $h$  are  $k$ -flows, we have immediately that  $f$  is nowhere zero and, for all  $\vec{e} \in E(G \square H)$ ,  $0 < |f(\vec{e})| < k$  and  $f(\vec{e}) = -f(\overleftarrow{e})$ . It remains to show that, for all  $v \in V(G \square H)$ ,  $f(v, V(G \square H)) = 0$ . To that end, let  $v = (x, y) \in V(G \square H)$ . Observe that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the  $G$ -edges leaving  $v$  and the edges in  $E(G)$  leaving  $x$ . A similar correspondence exists between the  $H$ -edges leaving  $v$  and the edges in  $E(H)$  leaving  $y$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(v, V(G \square H)) &= g(x, V(G)) + h(y, V(H)) \\ &= 0 + 0 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Therefore,  $f$  is a  $k$ -flow on  $G \square H$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $Q_n$  is bipartite.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{v \in V(Q_n) \mid v \text{ has an even number of 1 bits}\} \\ B &= \{v \in V(Q_n) \mid v \text{ has an odd number of 1 bits}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently,  $A$  and  $B$  partition  $V(Q_n)$ . No two vertices of  $A$  are adjacent, as any pair must differ in at least two bits. For the same reason, no two vertices of  $B$  are adjacent. Therefore,  $Q_n$  is bipartite for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\varphi(Q_n) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ even} \\ 3 & \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The graph  $Q_1$  is a single edge, and so contains a bridge. Hence, there can be no flow on this graph. In this case, we say that  $Q_1$  has infinite flow number.

For even values of  $n$ ,  $Q_n$  is an even graph (as it is  $n$ -regular), and so possesses a 2-flow.

Next, observe that  $Q_3$  is 3-regular and bipartite, and so possesses a 3-flow. For larger odd values  $n$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= Q_{2k+1} && \text{(for some } k) \\ &= (Q_{2k-2} \square Q_1) \square Q_1 \square Q_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= Q_{2k-2} \square (Q_1 \square Q_1 \square Q_1) && \text{(by associativity of } \square \text{)} \\
&= Q_{2k-2} \square Q_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,  $Q_{2k-2}$  is even, and so possesses a 2-flow (hence, a 3-flow). As previously observed,  $Q_3$  also possesses a 3-flow. By the lemma,  $Q_n$  possesses a 3-flow, since it is the Cartesian product of two graphs possessing a 3-flow. Furthermore,  $Q_n$  cannot possess a 2-flow, as it is not an even graph. Hence,  $\varphi(Q_n) = 3$  for odd  $n \geq 3$ .  $\square$

## 5 Problem 5

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $H$  be a finite abelian group,  $G$  a graph, and  $T$  a spanning tree of  $G$ . Every mapping  $f$  from the directions of  $E(G) \setminus E(T)$  to  $H$  that satisfies  $f(\vec{e}) = -f(\overleftarrow{e})$  for all  $e \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$  extends uniquely to an  $H$ -circulation on  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be given as above and let  $T$  be rooted at some vertex  $r$ . Consider a leaf  $v_0$  (with corresponding pendant edge  $v_0w_0$ ) at the lowest level of  $T$ . Let  $V = V(G)$  and let  $f(u, v)$  denote the flow from a vertex  $u$  to a vertex  $v$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(v_0, V) &= \sum_{v \in N(v_0)} f(v_0, v) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{v \in N(v_0) \\ v \neq w_0}} f(v_0, v) + f(v_0, w_0) && \text{(since } H \text{ is abelian).}
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $f(v_0, w_0) = -\sum_{\substack{v \in N(v_0) \\ v \neq w_0}} f(v_0, v)$  gives  $f(v_0, V) = 0$ . To satisfy  $f(v_0, w_0) = -f(w_0, v_0)$ , we must of course set  $f(w_0, v_0) = \sum_{\substack{v \in N(v_0) \\ v \neq w_0}} f(v_0, v)$ .

Carry out this process levelwise (that is, assign flow to all edges of a given level, then proceed to the next level). More precisely, for each  $v_k, w_k \in V$  with  $v_k$  below  $w_k$  in  $T$ , set  $f(v_k, w_k) = -\sum_{\substack{v \in N(v_k) \\ v \neq w_k}} f(v_k, v)$  (since we assign flow levelwise, all the values in the summation will indeed be defined). Set also  $f(w_k, v_k) = \sum_{\substack{v \in N(v_k) \\ v \neq w_k}} f(v_k, v)$ . From this perspective, it is clear that the extension is unique and satisfies  $f(v, w) = -f(w, v)$  for all  $v, w \in V$ , as there is a unique choice of flow at each  $v \in V$  satisfying  $f(v, V) = 0$ . It is not evident, however, that  $f(r, V) = 0$ , as  $r$  is not below any vertex in  $T$ , and so no flow is chosen specifically to satisfy  $f(r, V) = 0$ . To verify that this constraint holds, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= f(V, V) && \text{(since } f(\vec{e}) = -f(\overleftarrow{e}) \text{ for all } e \in G) \\
&= f(r, V) + f(V \setminus r, V) \\
&= f(r, V) && \text{(since } f(u, V) = 0 \text{ for all } u \neq r),
\end{aligned}$$

and so  $f$  is indeed an  $H$ -circulation on  $G$ .  $\square$

## 6 Problem 6

**Proposition 6.1.** *If  $G$  is a graph with  $m$  spanning trees such that no edge of  $G$  lies in all of these trees, then  $\varphi(G) \leq 2^m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  denote the spanning trees. For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we construct a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -circulation  $f_i$  on  $G$ . Begin with 0 flow on every edge of  $G$ . For  $e \in G \setminus T_i$ , let  $C_e$  denote the unique cycle in  $T_i \cup e$ . For each  $e \in G \setminus T_i$ , set  $f_{i,e}$  to be 1 on each edge of  $C_e$ . Now, let

$$f_i = \sum_{e \in G \setminus T_i} f_{i,e} \pmod{2}.$$

Evidently,  $f_i$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -circulation on  $G$ , since it is the sum of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -circulations  $f_{i,e}$ .

For each  $e \in G$ , define

$$f(e) = \langle f_1(e), \dots, f_m(e) \rangle$$

We claim that  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ -flow on  $G$ . As before,  $f(\bar{e}) = -f(\bar{e})$ , since every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$  is self-inverse. Now, for all  $v \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(v, V) &= \sum_{w \in N(v)} f(v, w) \\ &= \sum_{w \in N(v)} \langle f_1(v, w), \dots, f_m(v, w) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{w \in N(v)} f_1(v, w), \dots, \sum_{w \in N(v)} f_m(v, w) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ -circulation on  $G$ . Moreover,  $f$  is actually a flow, since  $f(e)$  is nonzero for all  $e \in G$ . To see this, observe that, for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $f_i(e) = 1$  whenever  $e \notin T_i$ , since we consider each  $e \in G \setminus T_i$  only once (and so increment its flow only once). Since no edge is in all of the  $T_i$ , it follows that, for every  $e \in G$ ,  $f(e)$  is nonzero in at least one coordinate. Therefore,  $f$  is indeed a  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ -flow on  $G$ , and so  $\varphi(G) \leq 2^m$ . □

## 7 Problem 7

**Proposition 7.1.** *Every graph with a Hamiltonian cycle has a 4-flow.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a Hamiltonian graph with Hamilton cycle  $C$ . We construct a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  flow  $f$  on  $G$ . Note that, since every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  is self-inverse, we need not worry about the orientation of flow along the edges of  $G$ .

Begin by letting  $f_0(e) = (0, 1)$  for all  $e \in E(C)$ . Evidently,  $f_0$  is a 4-flow on  $C$  (indeed,  $f_0$  is a 2-flow on  $C$ ).

Let now  $e_1 \in E(G) \setminus E(C)$  (that is,  $e_1$  is a chord of  $C$ ). Denote the endpoints of  $e_1$  by  $v_1$  and  $w_1$  and let  $P(e_1)$  be either of the  $v_1w_1$ -paths contained in  $C$ . For each edge  $e \in E(G)$ , set

$$f_1(e) = \begin{cases} f_0(e) & \text{if } e \notin P(e_1) \cup e_1 \\ (1, 1) & \text{if } e = e_1 \\ (1, 0) & \text{if } e \in P(e_1) \end{cases}$$

Now, for  $u \notin \{v_1, w_1\}$ , either  $f_1(u, V) = (0, 1) + (0, 1)$  or  $f_1(u, V) = (1, 0) + (1, 0)$ , where  $V = V(G)$ . In either case,  $f_1(u, V) = (0, 0)$ . For  $u \in \{v_1, w_1\}$ ,  $f_1(u, V) = (0, 1) + (1, 0) + (1, 1) = (0, 0)$ . Thus,  $f_1$  is a 4-flow on  $C \cup e_1$ .

At the  $k^{\text{th}}$  stage of this process, select a chord  $e_k = v_kw_k$  and let  $P(e_k)$  be one of the  $v_kw_k$ -paths contained in  $C$ . For each edge  $e \in E(G)$ , set

$$f_k(e) = \begin{cases} f_{k-1}(e) & \text{if } e \notin P(e_k) \cup e_k \\ (1, 1) & \text{if } e = e_k \\ (0, 1) & \text{if } e \in P(e_k) \text{ and } f_{k-1}(e) = (1, 0) \\ (1, 0) & \text{if } e \in P(e_k) \text{ and } f_{k-1}(e) = (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

Note that, by our construction, only the values  $(0, 1)$  or  $(1, 0)$  may appear on  $C$  (as only  $(0, 1)$  appeared in  $f_0$ ), and so the above definition is valid. Now, for  $u \notin P(e_k)$ ,  $f_k(u, V) = f_{k-1}(u, V) = 0$ . For  $u \in P(e_k) \setminus \{v, w\}$ , let  $l$  be the number of chords incident to  $u$ . If  $l$  is even, then the fact that  $f_{k-1}(u) = (0, 0)$  forces

$$f_{k-1}(u, V) = l(1, 1) + (0, 1) + (0, 1),$$

where we let, without loss of generality, the edges of  $P(e_k)$  that are incident to  $u$  have flow  $(0, 1)$  (the only important aspect is that these two edges must agree in their flow). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(u, V) &= l(1, 1) + (1, 0) + (1, 0) \\ &= (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $l$  is odd, then it must be that

$$f_{k-1}(u, V) = l(1, 1) + (0, 1) + (1, 0),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(u, V) &= l(1, 1) + (1, 0) + (0, 1) \\ &= (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

If  $u \in \{v_k, w_k\}$ , we argue similarly. Let  $l$  denote the number of chords adjacent to  $u$  excluding  $e_k$ . If  $l$  is even, then we must have, without loss of generality,

$$f_{k-1}(u, V) = l(1, 1) + (0, 1) + (0, 1),$$

and so adding in  $e_k$  and reassigning one of the edges in  $C$  incident to  $u$  gives

$$f_k(u, V) = l(1, 1) + (1, 1) + (1, 0) + (0, 1)$$

$$= (0, 0).$$

If  $l$  is odd, then

$$f_{k-1}(u, V) = l(1, 1) + (0, 1) + (1, 0),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(u, V) &= l(1, 1) + (1, 1) + (1, 0) + (0, 1) \\ &= (0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where we let, without loss of generality, the edge in  $P(e_k)$  have flow  $(0, 1)$  (the only important aspect is that one of these flows changes and the other does not). Hence,  $f_k$  is a 4-flow on  $C \cup e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_k$  for all  $k$ .

Letting  $r$  be the number of chords in  $G$ , the function  $f_r$  defines a 4-flow on  $G$ .  $\square$

## 8 Problem 8

**Definition 8.1.** A family of (not necessarily distinct) cycles in a graph  $G$  is called a cycle double cover of  $G$  if every edge of  $G$  lies on exactly two of these cycles. The cycle double cover conjecture asserts that every bridgeless multigraph has a cycle double cover.

**Lemma 8.2.** Every connected even graph has a covering by edge-disjoint cycles.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an even graph on  $n$  vertices. We proceed by induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 3$  (the smallest possible value for an even simple graph), then  $G$  is itself a cycle.

Suppose now that the claim holds for  $n = k$  and let  $G$  be even on  $k + 1$  vertices. It must be that either  $G$  is itself a cycle (in which case there is nothing to show) or  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  having fewer than  $k + 1$  vertices (if  $G$  contains no such cycle, then it cannot possibly have an Euler tour). Observe that  $G \setminus C$  is an even graph, since we reduce the degree of every vertex in the even graph  $G$  by 0 or 2. By the inductive hypothesis,  $G \setminus C$  can be covered by edge-disjoint cycles. Taking these cycles together with  $C$  gives the desired covering of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** Let  $G$  be the union of two even graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . The graph  $(V(G), E(G_1) \Delta E(G_2))$  is even.

*Proof.* As each of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are even, they each possess a 2-flow. For reasons to become apparent later, define the flow  $f_1$  on each edge of  $e \in G_1$  to be  $f_1(e) = (0, 1)$ . Similarly,  $f_2(e) = (1, 0)$  for each edge  $e \in G_2$ . The function  $f = f_1 + f_2$  is a 4-flow on  $G$ , where we assume the  $f_i$  are defined to be 0 on edges outside their respective domains. To see this, observe first that, for all  $e \in G$ ,  $f(\vec{e}) = -f(\overleftarrow{e})$  since every element of  $Z_2 \times Z_2$  is self-inverse. Now, let  $V = V(G)$ . For all vertices  $v \in V$ ,

$$f(v, V) = f_1(v, V) + f_2(v, V)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{u \in N(v)} f_1(uv) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} f_2(uv) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \in E(G_1)}} f_1(uv) + \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \notin E(G_1)}} f_1(uv) + \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \in E(G_2)}} f_2(uv) + \sum_{\substack{u \in N(v) \\ uv \notin E(G_2)}} f_2(uv) \\
&= 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

By this construction, the edges having flow  $(1,1)$  are precisely the edges in  $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2)$ . Moreover, for every vertex  $v \in G$ , the total number of edges incident to  $v$  having flow other than  $(1,1)$  must be even (else the sum of the flows at  $v$  cannot possibly be 0). Hence, removing the edges with flow  $(1,1)$  (i.e. removing  $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2)$ ) leaves an even graph, as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.4.** *The cycle double cover conjecture holds for graphs with a 4-flow.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph possessing a 4-flow. It follows that  $G$  is the union of two even graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , each of which possess a 2-flow. Let  $G' = (V(G), E(G_1) \Delta E(G_2))$ . By 8.3,  $G'$  is even, and so possesses a 2-flow. Now, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_1 &= \text{a covering of } G_1 \text{ by edge-disjoint cycles} \\
\mathcal{C}_2 &= \text{a covering of } G_2 \text{ by edge-disjoint cycles} \\
\mathcal{C}_3 &= \text{a covering of } G' \text{ by edge-disjoint cycles,}
\end{aligned}$$

each of which is guaranteed to exist by 8.2. It follows that  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_3$  is the desired cycle double cover, as every edge of  $G$  appears in precisely two of the  $\mathcal{C}_i$ .  $\square$