

Austin Mohr
Math 701
Homework 3

Problem 10

Let \mathbf{G} be a group. Prove that \mathbf{G} cannot have four distinct proper normal subgroups $\mathbf{N}_0, \mathbf{N}_1, \mathbf{N}_2, \mathbf{N}_3$ so that $\mathbf{N}_0 \leq \mathbf{N}_1 \leq \mathbf{N}_2 \leq \mathbf{G}$ and so that $N_1 N_3 = G$ and $N_2 \cap N_3 = N_0$.

Proof. Suppose we have normal subgroups of the desired form. Let $n_2 \in N_2 \subset G$. Then, $n_2 = n_1 n_3$ for some $n_1 \in N_1$ and $n_3 \in N_3$ (since $N_1 N_3 = G$). We have that $n_3 = n_1^{-1} n_2 \in N_2$ (since $n_1^{-1} \in N_1 \subset N_2$). Hence, $n_3 \in N_2 \cap N_3 = N_0 \subset N_1$. Since $n_3 \in N_1$, we have that $n_2 = n_1 n_3 \in N_1$. Therefore, $N_2 \subset N_1$, which implies that $N_1 = N_2$. This is a contradiction with the fact that N_1 and N_2 are distinct. \square

Problem 11

Let \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{K} be subgroups of the group \mathbf{G} each of finite index in \mathbf{G} . Prove that $\mathbf{H} \cap \mathbf{K}$ is also a subgroup of finite index in \mathbf{G} .

Claim 1. $H \cap K$ is a subset of G .

Proof. Let $a \in H \cap K$. Then, $a \in H$. Since \mathbf{H} is a subgroup of G , we have that $a \in G$. \square

Claim 2. $H \cap K$ contains the identity.

Proof. \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{K} are both groups, so we have $1 \in H$ and $1 \in K$. Therefore, $1 \in H \cap K$. \square

Claim 3. $H \cap K$ is closed under the taking of inverses.

Proof. Let $a \in H \cap K$. Then, $a \in H$ and $a \in K$. Since \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{K} are both groups, we have that $a^{-1} \in H$ and $a^{-1} \in K$. Therefore, $a^{-1} \in H \cap K$. \square

Claim 4. $H \cap K$ is closed under addition.

Proof. Let $a, b \in H \cap K$. Then, a, b belong to both H and K . Since \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{K} are both groups, we have that $a + b$ belongs to both H and K . Therefore, $a + b \in H \cap K$. \square

By the previous claims, we see that $\mathbf{H} \cap \mathbf{K}$ is a subgroup of \mathbf{G} .

Claim 5. $H \cap K$ has finite index in \mathbf{G} .

Proof. Define the function

$$f : G/H \cap K \rightarrow G/H \times G/K \\ f(a(H \cap K)) = (aH, aK) \text{ for all } a \in G$$

To see that this function is well-defined, suppose $a(H \cap K) = b(H \cap K)$. Then, a and b belong to the same equivalence class in $G/H \cap K$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} a(H \cap K) &\subseteq aH \\ \Rightarrow b(H \cap K) &\subseteq aH \\ \Rightarrow aH &= bH \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} a(H \cap K) &\subseteq aK \\ \Rightarrow b(H \cap K) &\subseteq aK \\ \Rightarrow aK &= bK \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(aH, aK) = (bH, bK)$, and so f is well-defined.

We claim that this function is one-to-one. Suppose $(aH, aK) = (bH, bK)$. Then $aH = bH$ and $aK = bK$,

which implies that a and b belong to the same equivalence class in G/H as well as the same equivalence class in G/K . Therefore, a and b belong to the same equivalence class in $G/H \cap K$. That is, $a(H \cap K) = b(H \cap K)$.

Now, f is a one-to-one map sending each coset of $G/H \cap K$ into a finite range (since each of H and K have finite index in G), so it must be that the domain is finite. That is, $H \cap K$ has finite index in G . \square

Problem 12

Prove that there is no group \mathbf{G} such that $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z} denotes the group of integers under addition.

Proof. Suppose $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for some group G . Since \mathbb{Z} is cyclic, $G/Z(G)$ is cyclic. Let $aZ(G)$ generate $G/Z(G)$. Then, every coset is of the form $a^n Z(G)$ for some integer n . Since the cosets partition G , we have that every element of G is of the form $a^n c$ for some integer n and some element $c \in Z(G)$. Let g_1, g_2 be arbitrary elements of G with $g_1 = a^{n_1} c_1$ and $g_2 = a^{n_2} c_2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 g_2 &= a^{n_1} c_1 a^{n_2} c_2 \\ &= a^{n_2} c_2 a^{n_1} c_1 && \text{(since } c_1, c_2 \in Z(G) \text{ and powers of } a \text{ commute)} \\ &= g_2 g_1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, any two elements of G commute. That is, $Z(G) = G$, and so $G/Z(G) = \{1\}$, which contradicts our assumption that $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. \square