

Problem 1

Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation of rank 1 on a finite dimensional vector space V over any field. Prove that either T is nilpotent or V has a basis of eigenvectors of T .

Proof. Let $\dim(V) = n$ and let $\{\vec{v}_0\}$ be a basis for $R(T)$ (since $\text{rank}(T) = 1$). Observe that $\vec{v}_0 = T(\vec{v}'_0)$ for some $\vec{v}'_0 \in V$. By the dimension theorem, the nullity of T is $n - 1$, and so let $\{\vec{w}_0, \dots, \vec{w}_{n-2}\}$ be a basis for $N(T)$.

Observe that, since $T \neq 0$, it cannot be that T is nilpotent and V has a basis of eigenvectors of T .

Suppose T is not nilpotent. We claim that $\{\vec{v}_0, \vec{w}_0, \dots, \vec{w}_{n-2}\}$ is a basis of eigenvectors for V . Since T is not nilpotent, we have that $T(\vec{v}_0) = T^2(\vec{v}'_0) \neq 0$. Since T has rank 1, it must be that $T(\vec{v}_0) = \lambda \vec{v}_0$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. In other words, \vec{v}_0 is an eigenvector for T . Now, $T(\vec{w}_i) = 0\vec{w}_i$ for all i , and so each \vec{w}_i is an eigenvector for T with eigenvalue 0.

Now, the set $\{\vec{w}_0, \dots, \vec{w}_{n-2}\}$ is independent, since it is a basis for $N(T)$. Suppose \vec{v}_0 can be expressed as a linear combination of the \vec{w}_i . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{v}_0 &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i \vec{w}_i \\ T(\vec{v}_0) &= T\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i \vec{w}_i\right) \\ T(\vec{v}_0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i T(\vec{w}_i) \\ T(\vec{v}_0) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\{\vec{v}_0, \vec{w}_0, \dots, \vec{w}_{n-2}\}$ is an independent set of n eigenvectors of T , and so a basis for V . □

Problem 2

Let V be a vector space over a field K .

a. Prove that if U_0 and U_1 are subspaces of V such that $U_0 \not\subseteq U_1$ and $U_1 \not\subseteq U_0$, then $V \neq U_0 \cup U_1$.

Proof. Since $U_0 \not\subseteq U_1$, there exists a basis vector $U_0 \ni \vec{u}_0 \notin U_1$. Similarly, since $U_1 \not\subseteq U_0$, there exists a basis vector $U_1 \ni \vec{u}_1 \notin U_0$. Now, consider the linear combination $\vec{u}_0 + \vec{u}_1 \in V$.

$$\vec{u}_0 + \vec{u}_1 \notin U_0 \text{ (since } \vec{u}_0 \notin U_0)$$

$$\vec{u}_0 + \vec{u}_1 \notin U_1 \text{ (since } \vec{u}_1 \notin U_1)$$

Hence, $\vec{u}_0 + \vec{u}_1 \notin U_0 \cup U_1$, and so $U_0 \cup U_1 \neq V$. \square

b. Prove that if U_0, U_1 , and U_2 are subspaces of V such that $U_i \not\subseteq U_j$ when $i \neq j$ and K has at least 3 elements, then $V \neq U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$.

Proof. Since no subspace is contained in any other, we can find basis vectors $U_0 \ni \vec{u}_0 \notin U_1, U_2$, $U_1 \ni \vec{u}_1 \notin U_0, U_2$, and $U_2 \ni \vec{u}_2 \notin U_0, U_1$, $\vec{u}_i \in V$. Observe that if all the \vec{u}_i are identical, then we have found a vector in V which is in none of the U_i , and so $U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2 \neq V$. Let it be, instead, that at least one of the \vec{u}_i differs from the rest. Without loss of generality, let \vec{u}_0 differ from the rest of the \vec{u}_i . Now, consider the linear combination $\vec{u}_0 + \vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2 \in V$. It is possible that $\vec{u}_1 = \vec{u}_2$, but since K is of characteristic 3, we are assured that $\vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2 \neq 0$. It follows that, for all i

$$\vec{u}_0 + \vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2 \notin U_i \text{ (since } \vec{u}_i \notin U_i)$$

Hence, $\vec{u}_0 + \vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2 \notin U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and so $U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2 \neq V$. \square

c. State a prove a generalization of (b) for n subspaces.

Proposition 0.1. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field K of characteristic at least n . Let $\{U_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ be a set of subspaces such that $U_i \not\subseteq U_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then,*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} U_i \neq V$$

Proof. Since no subspace is contained in any other, we can find basis vectors $V \ni \vec{u}_i \notin U_i$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Observe that if all the \vec{u}_i are identical, then we have found a vector in V which is in none of the U_i , and so $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} U_i \neq V$. Let it be, instead, that at least one of the \vec{u}_i differs from the rest. Without loss

of generality, let \vec{u}_0 differ from the rest of the \vec{u}_i . Now, consider the linear combination $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \vec{u}_i \in V$. It is possible that $\vec{u}_1 = \vec{u}_2 = \dots = \vec{u}_{n-1}$, but since K is of characteristic n , we are assured that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \vec{u}_i \neq 0$. It follows that, for all $0 \leq j \leq n-1$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \vec{u}_i \notin U_j \text{ (since } \vec{u}_j \notin U_j)$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \vec{u}_i \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} U_i$$

and so $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} U_i \neq V$. \square